Looking Ahead

The downturn in the U.S. economy has had a sharp impact on the operating budgets of many state programs in Iowa, including the Beach Monitoring Program. Budgets may dictate the length of future sampling seasons and the frequency of sample collection. Despite these limitations, monitoring efforts will continue to provide information on water quality conditions at swimming areas throughout the state.

Recently the Iowa Department of Public Health has assumed a more active role in the Beach Monitoring Program, which will likely lead to an increased presence in decision-making processes. Rapid methods for the detection of E. coli are now being developed that will increase the speed at which samples can be analyzed for fecal contamination, and the potential exists for near-real-time results which would enhance the effectiveness of swimming advisories. These improvements will aid in the DNR's ongoing mission to protect the health of those recreating at Iowa's beaches.
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Indicator Bacteria and Bacteria Standards

Indicator bacteria, although not typically disease-causing themselves, are correlated with the presence of water-borne pathogens. Because it is difficult and costly to analyze water for the many disease-causing organisms that may be present, indicator bacteria, such as *E. coli*, are used to assess the microbiological quality of water as they are easy to collect, relatively safe to handle, and are usually present when pathogens are in the water. An increase in the level of indicator bacteria indicates a potentially elevated health risk of exposure to pathogens. Elevated levels of bacteria can also be associated with cloudy water, unpleasant odors, and increased oxygen demand, all of which impact the overall health of aquatic ecosystems.

So how did we arrive at 235 organisms per 100 ml of water for the one-time sample maximum standard and 126 organisms per 100 ml of water for the geometric mean standard? Epidemiological studies conducted by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1984) demonstrated that the presence of *E. coli* in freshwater is strongly correlated to swimming-related illness and has developed guidelines for *E. coli* based on an acceptable illness rate of 8 per 1,000 people following contact with Class A (primary contact recreation) water bodies.

Sample Collection

The 2009 monitoring season took place between the week before Memorial Day and Labor Day weekend. Samples were collected at least once a week at all state park beaches, but most beaches were sampled twice weekly. Due to renovation projects, samples were not collected at George Wyth (Black Hawk Co.), Green Valley (Union Co.), and Lake Darling (Washington Co.). At each beach, program staff collected water from three transects (left, center, and right) at three water depths (ankle-, knee-, and chest-deep) for a total of nine points within the swimming area. Water gathered from these points was combined and mixed to form a composite sample. This method of sample collection better reflects current water quality conditions compared to collecting and analyzing a single sample from each beach.

Results

Twenty-eight state park beaches exceeded the one-time standard for *E. coli* (235 organisms per 100 ml of water) on at least one occasion during the 2009 monitoring season while 12 beaches exceeded the geometric mean standard for *E. coli* (126 organisms per 100 ml of water). Figures 2 and 3 illustrate bacteria monitoring results at state-owned beaches throughout Iowa.

The Iowa Department of Natural Resources (DNR) has also made arrangements that allow locally managed beaches within the state to participate in the Beach Monitoring Program. Unlike state-owned beaches which are subject to Iowa DNR beach policy, sample collection at these beaches was conducted on a completely voluntary basis and management decisions based on sample results were at the discretion of local agencies.

In 2009, twelve of the 23 beaches participating in the program submitted no samples which exceeded state bacteria standards, while another six had only one such violation. Overall, results from samples collected at these beaches were below the one-time standard of 235 organisms per 100 ml of water 90.7% of the time. Compiled bacteria monitoring results from locally managed beaches are illustrated in Figure 4. (Note: locally managed beaches that did not submit at least two-thirds of their weekly samples are not represented in this summary.)